

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,379	02/19/2004	William S. Hurst	TR-6009	6415	
29200 7.	590 11/29/2005		EXAM	INER	
BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION			AUGHENBAU	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER	
1 BAXTER PA	RKWAY				
DF2-2E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEERFIELD,	DEERFIELD, IL 60015				
				_	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	10/783,379	HURST ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	rance except for formal matters, pro	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-76 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-76 are subject to restriction and/or analysis of the series are subject.	awn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a deposition of the deposition and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the latest and the deposition of the latest angle of the la	ccepted or b) objected to by the late drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati iority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/783,379

Art Unit: 1772

Ì

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, 11-19 and 21-58, drawn to a method for assembling a medical device,
 classified in class 156, subclass 60.
 - II. Claims 10 and 20, drawn to a medical device, classified in class 428, subclass36.9.
 - III. Claims 59-76, drawn to a medical device assembly, classified in class 604, subclass 93.01.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by bonding the first and second articles together via adhesive.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as by bonding the first and second articles together via adhesive.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,379

Art Unit: 1772

j

- 4. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require that the first and second articles are exposed to a specific portion of the infrared spectrum as is required by the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as plastic furniture.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Robert Barrett on November 10, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/783,379

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter B. Aughenbaugh whose telephone number is 571-272-1488. While the examiner sets his work schedule under the Increased Flexitime Policy, he can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:45am to 5:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is to 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter B. Aughenbaugh

11/23/05 WBA

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

11/28/05

Page 4